Alternate Tokamak Operation Scenarios

Prof. Dr. Yong-Su Na*

Department of Nuclear Engineering Seoul National University

*Ex-chair of ITPA Integrated Operation Scenarios Topical Group Member, ITER Science and Technology Advisory Committee (STAC)

ysna@snu.ac.kr

ITER International School 2022, July 25-29, UCSD, USA







Hometown **(**ha-<ha-(ha 「たいた

Watched for 23,950,000 hours this week. TV (Non-English) Jul 4 - Jul 10 2022

Extraørdinary Attorney Woo

NETFLIX TOP 10





Contents

- What to satisfy?
- How to satisfy them?
- H-mode
 - Why?
 - How to?
 - Characteristics?
 - How to overcome?
- Monotonic Shear / Flat Shear / Reversed Shear
- Your Challenge!





duct)

J. D. Lawson



https://www.euro-fusion.org/2005/12/50-years-of-lawson-criteria/



5



Present devices cannot reach the condition mainly due to small size.

 $\tau_{th,E}^{IPB98(y,2)} = 0.0562 I^{0.93} B^{0.15} P^{-0.69} n^{0.41} M^{0.19} R^{1.97} \varepsilon^{0.58} \kappa_a^{0.78}$

How can we explore and develop reactor-relevant scenarios with present devices?

How can we guarantee that developed scenarios can be reproduced in ITER and beyond?



https://www.euro-fusion.org/2005/12/50-years-of-lawson-criteria/

 \Rightarrow H. Zohm, this school

• High fusion performance (high *n*τ*T*)

$$Q = P_{fus} / P_{ext} \approx P_{fus} / P_{heat} \sim (nT)^2 / (nT/\tau_E) \sim nT\tau_E >> 1$$

Figure of merit 1: β_{N} , H_{98}

This needs to be sustained for long in steady-state!







• High fusion performance (high *n*τT)

 $Q = P_{fus}/P_{ext} \approx P_{fus}/P_{heat} \sim (nT)^2/(nT/\tau_E) \sim nT\tau_E >>1$

Figure of merit 1: $\beta_{N'}$ H_{98}

• Steady-state operation ($f_{NI} = 1$)

 $j_{bs} \sim (r/R)^{1/2} \nabla p/B_{pol}$

 $\rightarrow f_{bs} = I_{bs}/I_p = c_{bs} A^{-1/2} \beta_{pol} \qquad \beta_{pol} \propto \beta_N B/I \propto \beta_N q_{95}$

Figure of merit 2: f_{bs}

⇒ "Advanced Tokamak" (W.M. Nevins et al, IAEA FEC (1992))



• High fusion performance (high *n*τT)

 $Q = P_{fus}/P_{ext} \approx P_{fus}/P_{heat} \sim (nT)^2/(nT/\tau_E) \sim nT\tau_E >>1$

Figure of merit 1: $\beta_{N'}$ H_{98}

• Steady-state operation ($f_{NI} = 1$) $j_{bs} \sim (r/R)^{1/2} \nabla p/B_{pol}$

 $\rightarrow f_{bs} = I_{bs}/I_p = c_{bs} A^{-1/2} \beta_{pol} \qquad \beta_{pol} \propto \beta_N B/I \propto \beta_N q_{95}$

Figure of merit 2: f_{bs}

• Long pulse operation ($\tau_{pulse} \gg \tau_W$) \Rightarrow S.H. Han, this school Profile & MHD control, Particle & power handling, Engineering issues

⇒ "Integrated Operation Scenarios"



How to satisfy them?



The target pressure profile and q-profile should be carefully set to avoid severe MHD instabilities (β_N) and minimize turbulence (H_{98}).



How to satisfy them?



How to realise?



	다. 	CM Mix Pro	
Shot number :	16545 2016/09/01	081	0:00:00:00
KSTAR TV2(t=-!	100ms,210fps,200us)		



Contents

- What to satisfy?
- How to satisfy them?
- H-mode
 - Why?
 - How to?
 - Characteristics?
 - How to overcome?
- Monotonic Shear / Flat Shear / Reversed Shear
- Your Challenge!



H-mode



• 1982 IAEA F. Wagner et al. (ASDEX, Germany)





- 1982 IAEA F. Wagner et al. (ASDEX, Germany)
- Transition to H-mode: State with reduced turbulence at the plasma edge
- Formation of an edge transport barrier: Steep pressure gradient at the edge





Hoover dam





H-mode -----

1.71 1.715 1.72

19

1.705

1.7

- 1982 IAEA F. Wagner et al. (ASDEX, Germany)
- Transition to H-mode: State with reduced turbulence at the plasma edge
- Formation of an edge transport barrier: Steep pressure gradient at the edge



- 1982 IAEA F. Wagner et al. (ASDEX, Germany)
- Transition to H-mode: State with reduced turbulence at the plasma edge
- Formation of an edge transport barrier: Steep pressure gradient at the edge







- 1982 IAEA F. Wagner et al. (ASDEX, Germany)
- Transition to H-mode: State with reduced turbulence at the plasma edge
- Formation of an edge transport barrier: Steep pressure gradient at the edge

Gyrokinetic Simulations of Plasma Microinstabilities

simulation by

Zhihong Lin et al.

Science 281, 1835 (1998)





• Impact of wall condition

Shot number : 4333	2010/11/15	001	0:00:00:00
KSTAR TV1 (t=-100ms	\$)		





Target profiles not set!

- Naturally peaked current profile
- Monotonic *q*-profile



 \Rightarrow Figure of merit 1: $H_{98} \sim 1.0$ (reference)

• Stability related with safety factor profile, q(r)



 $q_0 < 1$: Sawtooth instability, periodic flattening of the pressure in the core



Sawtooth







- nonlinear low-*n* internal mode
- internal (minor) disruption
- increasing energy transport in the plasma centre



• Stability related with safety factor profile, q(r)



 $q_0 < 1$: Sawtooth instability, periodic flattening of the pressure in the core

q = 3/2 and q = 2: \Rightarrow F. Turco, this school

(Neoclassical) Tearing Modes (TM/NTMs):

- limit the achievable β_N

- degrade confinement (+ disruptions)

- often triggered by sawteeth









Neoclassical Tearing Mode (NTM)



K. Miyamoto, "Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics" Taylor & Francis (2007)

• Pressure flattening across magnetic islands due to large transport coefficients along magnetic field lines



Neoclassical Tearing Mode (NTM)





• Pressure flattening across magnetic islands due to large transport coefficients along magnetic field lines



• Stability related with safety factor profile, q(r)



Periodic collapses of the ETB (ELMs)

 $q_0 < 1$: Sawtooth instability, periodic flattening of the pressure in the core

q = 3/2 and **q** = 2:

Neoclassical Tearing Modes (NTMs):

- limit the achievable β_N

- degrade confinement (+ disruptions)
- often triggered by sawteeth

 $q_{95} (\propto 1/I_p) = 3$: Safe operation at max. I_p



Edge Localised Mode (ELM)





31 P

• Stability related with safety factor profile, q(r)



Periodic collapses of the ETB (ELMs)

 $q_0 < 1$: Sawtooth instability, periodic flattening of the pressure in the core

q = 3/2 and **q** = 2:

Neoclassical Tearing Modes (NTMs):

- limit the achievable β_N

- degrade confinement (+ disruptions)
- often triggered by sawteeth

 $q_{95} (\propto 1/I_p) = 3$: Safe operation at max. I_p

⇒ **Figure of merit 1:** $\beta_N < 2.0$





• Mild pressure gradient with steep edge pedestal (ETB) \rightarrow low bootstrap current $J_{bs} \propto \nabla p$

 \Rightarrow Figure of merit 2: $f_{bs} << 0.5$







Play with current and pressure profiles using your items (coils, fueling, H&CD, etc)!







Plasma current overshoot ⇒ J. Mailloux, this school




How to overcome?



ARE





FIRE mode (shot 22663)



H. Han, S.J. Park, and Y.-S. Na et al., Nature in press (2022)

How to overcome?





H. Han, S.J. Park, and Y.-S. Na et al., Nature in press (2022)





The plasma performance can be completely changed with the operation scenario even at the same I_p , B_t , P_{aux} , etc.



Contents

- What to satisfy?
- How to satisfy them?
- H-mode
 - Why?
 - How to?
 - Characteristics?
 - How to overcome?
- Monotonic Shear / Flat Shear / Reversed Shear
- Your Challenge!



Monotonic shear – High *I_i* mode



E. J. Strait, Phys. Plasmas **1** 1415 (1994)

0.6

PLARE

0.9

Monotonic shear – High *I_i* mode





L.L. Lao et al, PRL **70** 3435 (1993) J.R. Ferron et al, NF **55** 073030 (2015)

- A long Ohmic phase to allow current to penetrate to the axis
- No need for heating during the ramp-up
- ECCD to raise T_e to freeze in the inductive current ($q_{min} \sim 1$)
- β_N maintained above 4, confinement well above H-mode
- After H-mode transition, increased bootstrap current \rightarrow reduced I_i
- Confinement and β_N vary as the current profile evolves.



Monotonic shear – High *I_i* mode



• $\beta_N \sim 3$ maintained aboout 10 s



CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

K§TAR

~Flat shear



Rationale: mitigate sawtooth reduce I_p Figure of merit 1: β_{N} , H_{98}

Figure of merit 2: f_{hs}

 $f_{BS} \propto \beta_p \propto q_{95} \propto 1/I_p$





44

~Flat shear





- Flat or slightly hollow central current profile
- Flat or slightly reversed central *q*-profile with $q_0 \sim 1$
- Higher pedestal pressure and/or steeper core pressure \rightarrow higher bootstrap current $J_{BS} \propto \nabla p$



~Flat shear



- q₀ ~ 1: generally no sawtooth, sometimes with fishbones (clamp q-profile)
- **q** = **3/2**: 3/2 (N)TM
- clamp q-profile
- **q** = **2**: 2/1 (N)TM
- limit the achievable β_N
- degrade confinement (+

disruptions) Note) benign NTM activities due to FIR (Frequently Interrupted Mode)*

*S. Guenter et al, 87 275001 PRL (2001)



Flat shear – Hybrid (Advanced Inductive) mode





- High performance achieved with late as well as early heating
- Well-reproduced in various devices, no delicate control needed.
- Stationary *q*-profile sustained (by flux pumping (3/2 TM), fishbones, etc).



Flat shear – S-S Hybrid (Advanced Inductive) mode





- **Fully non-inductive** obtained with $\beta_N \leq 3.7$ as FNSF-AT target
- Achieved by going to $\beta_p \ge 1.9$ and using all available ECCD power near the axis (efficient ECCCD)
- 3/2 TM redistributes current outward and avoids sawteeth, even with central ECCD
- ELM suppression with RMP (n=3 from I-coil with odd parity)
- Reproducible zero loop voltage



Flat shear – S-S Hybrid (Advanced Inductive) mode



KSTAR

G QST

VOLUME 72, NUMBER 23

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

6 JUNE 1994

Internal Transport Barrier on q = 3 Surface and Poloidal Plasma Spin Up in JT-60U High- β_p Discharges

Y. Koide, M. Kikuchi, M. Mori, S. Tsuji, S. Ishida, N. Asakura, Y. Kamada, T. Nishitani, Y. Kawano,
 T. Hatae, T. Fujita, T. Fukuda, A. Sakasai, T. Kondoh, R. Yoshino, and Y. Neyatani

Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, Naka-machi, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken 311-01, Japan (Received 27 May 1993)

Spontaneous formation of an internal transport barrier was observed associated with improved confinement in the high- β_p discharges in the JT-60U tokamak. The radial location of the transport barrier was found to be on the q=3 surface. A fast magnetohydrodynamic event localized at the transport barrier triggered the subsequent formation of an edge transport barrier that resulted in the further confinement improvement. In these discharges, a high poloidal plasma rotation velocity that significantly exceeded the prediction of the present neoclassical theory was also observed at r/a = 0.8.

PACS numbers: 52.55.Fa, 52.25.Fi, 52.55.Pi

Y. Koide et al, PRL **72** 3662 (1994)





Flat shear – JT-60U High β_p mode

Tokamak operation in the high- β_p regime is a promising concept for a steady-state tokamak reactor [1,2]. Here the poloidal beta is defined as $\beta_p = 2\mu_0 \langle p \rangle / B_p^2$, where $\langle p \rangle$ is the volume-averaged plasma pressure and B_p is the averaged poloidal magnetic field on the plasma surface. An energy confinement time, τ_E , more than 2 times that for L mode (for example, ITER89-P [3]) is required in the high- β_p regime to reduce the plasma current for ignition and hence to achieve efficient steady-state tokamak operation [4]. Improved confinement time was observed in the high- β_p regime ($\beta_p = 1-2$) in JT-60U where the confinement improvement factor, $\tau_E/\tau_E^{\text{ITER89-P}}$, increased with $\epsilon\beta_p$ [5]. In this regime, the "high- β_p mode," a bootstrap-current fraction of up to 58% and a central ion temperature, $T_i(0)$, of 38 keV were achieved simultaneously. Recently the high- β_p mode regime was extented to a lower q regime ($q_{eff} \sim 4.3$; q_{eff} is the effective surface safety factor defined in Ref. [6]) by using current profile control to avoid sawteeth. And high fusion performance was attained in this regime [7,8]. This Letter describes two distinctive features of this high- β_p mode: (1) the formation of an "internal" transport barrier near the q=3 rational surface and (2) the appearance of high poloidal plasma rotation velocity of ~ 50 km/s in the plasma interior.



Flat shear – JT-60U High β_p mode (Hybrid mode)





- Long pulse hybrid scenario based on high β_p ELMy H-mode plasmas with weak ITB (I_p = 900 kA, B_T = 1.54 T, q₉₅ ~ 3.2)
- $\beta_N > 2.6, H_{98} \ge 1, G \ge 0.54,$
 - f_{BS} = 0.43 sustained for 28 s
- No NTMs but with infrequent sawtooth and n = 1 mode
- Gradual confinement degradation due to wall recycling



Flat shear / RS – AUG High β_p mode



- $I_P = 400 \text{ kA}, B_T = 2 \text{ T}, q_{95} = 9$
- ITBs with $f_{BS} = 0.51$, $f_{NB} = 0.43$
- $\beta_p = 3$, $\beta_N = 2.7$, $H_{89} \sim 1.8$
- High density **f**_{GW} ~ **1.0**
- No confinement limiting MHD activities





Flat shear / RS – DIII-D High β_p mode





• q_{min} > 2.0 with q_{95} ~7.0-12.0

A.M. Garofalo et al, NF **55** 123025 (2015)

• Broad ITBs ($\rho \sim 0.7$) at $f_{\rm GW} \sim 1.0$ -1.1, low NB torque < 2 Nm:

- low $\omega_{\rm ExB}$ effect
- high Shafranov shift effect
- No strong impurity accumulation
- $H_{98} \ge 1.5$, $\beta_p \sim 3$, $\beta_N \sim 3$, $f_{BS} > 0.8$

• Higher performance if AE activity and fast ion transport reduced (below $\nabla \beta_{fasterit}$, $\beta \beta \beta \beta b$

Flat shear / RS – DIII-D High β_p mode





- Full divertor detachment with good energy confinement, H₉₈ ~1.5 (for the first time in a tokamak)
- Pedestal pressure degraded due to detachment
- The growing ITB compensated the loss



Flat shear – FIRE (Fast Ion Regulated Enhancement) mode



FIRE mode (shot 22663)



H. Han, S.J. Park, and Y.-S. Na et al., Nature in press (2022)





Flat shear – FIRE (Fast Ion Regulated Enhancement) mode



- High performance (β_N, H₉₈) comparable to hybrid mode
- Reduced heat load on divertor w/O ELMs (I-mode)

KSTAR

57

- Almost **fully non inductive** ($V_{loop} < 0.1 \text{ V}$)
- Stationary long pulse without delicate feedback control (~30 s)
- No clear impurity accumulations

Stabilising turbulence by fast ions



H. Han, S.J. Park, and Y.-S. Na et al., Nature in press (2022)

Reversed Shear



Rationale: No sawtooth, mild/no NTM reduce I_p, ITB **Figure of merit 1:** $\beta_{N\nu}$ H_{98} **Figure of merit 2:** $f_{bs} = f_{BS} \propto \beta_p \propto q_{95} \propto 1/I_p$ Current Density Pressure ITB Bootstrap Current Externally driven Current

T. Ozeki et al IAEA-CN-56/D-4-1 (1992) C.E. Kessel et al, PRL **72** 1212 (1994) and others



Reversed Shear









59

Reversed Shear



No $q_0 = 1$: No sawtooth/fishbone

No *q* = 3/2: No 3/2 NTM

Negative/flat shear region:

infernal mode (low n kink-ballooning Note ho NTMs in the negative shear region

- **q** = **2**: Double tearing modes
- enhance MHD activities
- even trigger sawtooth crashes

Higher q: fast particle instabilities such as Alfven Eigenmodes



Strong RS – Current Hole Regime





- Zero current density at the centre
- *H*₉₈ = 1.16-1.45 with ITB **but low** β_N
- Observed in JET first and JT-60U



61

Strong RS





S. Ide et al, NF 45 S48 (2005)

- $I_P = 800 \text{ kA}, B_T = 3.4 \text{ T}$
- ITB+ETB with $f_{BS} = 0.8$ and $f_{NI} \sim 1.0$ but low β_N
- Suffered from disruption when $q_{min} \sim 4$



Strong RS – Optimised Shear





- **DT plasmas.** ITB w/o ETB. $I_P = 3.4 \text{ MA}, B_T = 3.85 \text{ T}, q_{95} = 3.9$
- Rise of *T_i* from ~3 to ~40 keV in < 1 s
- $f_{BS} = 0.15, H = 1.5, \beta_N = 1.9$
- **Disruption** due to global n = 1 ideal kink mode due to strong pressure peaking

C. Gormezano et al, PRL **80** 5544 (1998)

PLAF

63

RS – AUG ITB





- Formation of an ITB at low n_e , with 15 MW NBI power
- $T_i > T_e$, high rotation shear
- ITBs are relatively short lived, only few τ_E .
- Good, transient performance: $H_{89} \sim 3, \beta_N \sim 3$
- ITB not compatible with H-mode edge barrier and large ELMs.



RS – AUG AT mode





- First AT developed in full W-coated ASDEX Upgrade
- $I_P = 800 \text{ kA}, B_T = 2.5 \text{ T}, q_{95} = 5.3 \text{ with } \sim 12 \text{ MW NBI power}$
- $f_{BS} = 0.50, f_{NB} = 0.4, f_{EC} = 0.1$
- Increase β after current relaxation
- $\beta_N = 2.7, H_{98} > 1.1$

J. Stober et al, IAEA FEC PDP-4 (2016), ITPA IOS TG Spring (2017) 65



RS – Quiescent Double Barrier









- $I_p = -1.3 \text{ MA}, B_t = 1.8-2.1, q_{95} = 3.5-4.5$
- **ITB+ETB without ELMs** (but with EHO, AE mode)
- High performance but with **peaked** *n*_e
- misalignment of BS current
- reduced beta limit
- increased impurity content



RS – High *q_{min}*





- Sustained $q_{min} \sim 1.5$, $q_{95} \sim 6.2$, $\beta_N \sim 3.8$
- Marginally stable to 2/1 NTMs
- Addition of off-axis NBI can broaden profiles, raise β_N limits, & reduce anomalous fast-ion transport
 - \rightarrow Predicted n = 1 kink ideal limit ~ 5



67

Characteristics of developed AS's

		AS	Advantages	Questions
	Monotonic shear	High I _i mode	- High β_N below no- wall limit - Efficient on-axis CD	 How to sustain high <i>I_i</i> in stationary conditions? (replace <i>J_{IND}</i> with on-axis CD) How to avoid <i>n</i> = 1 TM?
	Flat shear	Hybrid mode	- High β_N , H - No delicate control - S-S with f_{CD} ~0.5, f_{BS} ~0.5	 Mechanism of preventing current diffusion? Can this phase be sustained in long-pulse (> 30 s)? Relatively low <i>f</i>_{BS} Can it be maintained with low torque?
		High β_p mode	 High β_N, H S-S with high f_{BS} Low disruptivity No impurity accumulation / detachment 	 How to keep high β_N while reducing q₉₅ by avoiding RWM? How to sustain in stationary conditions? How to avoid energetic particle transport?
		FIRE mode	 high β_N, H S-S No impurity accumulation 	 How to increase the density? How to increase <i>f</i>_{BS}? How to improve the performance by avoiding H-mode transition?

ARE

	AS	Advantages	Questions
Reversed shear	 Current hole OS NCS QDB 	- high <i>H</i> - S-S with high f _{BS}	 How to increase β_N and reduce q₉₅ while avoiding RWM? Current profile control to sustain ITBs? How to avoid strong density peaking and impurity accumulation?
	- High q _{min}	- High ideal MHD β_N -limits - high f_{BS}	How to avoid q>2 tearing modes?How to obtain high H w/o ITB?



Contents

- What to satisfy?
- How to satisfy them?
- H-mode
 - Why?
 - How to?
 - Characteristics?
 - How to overcome?
- Monotonic Shear / Flat Shear / Reversed Shear
- Your Challenge!









Your Challenge – ITER SS scenarios



Courtesy of S.H. Kim (ITER)


• Most of alternative / advanced scenarios were just

termeasures. The first was to modify JT-60 to operate with a lower X-point divertor. Installation of new divertor coils below the vacuum chamber was challenging but necessary to produce the lower X-point configuration. Mutual trust between Kishimoto and Hiroshi Ishizuka, Director of the Hitachi local office, enabled this modification to be completed in seven months. However, Kishimoto [1] noted that the H-mode result with lower X-point was "really disappointing" since the confinement improvement over the L-mode was small. On the other hand, the lower X-point experiment produced a "serendipity" called high β_p enhanced confinement. This phenomena was discovered by Shinichi Ishida [14] having enhanced core confinement similar to the TFTR supershot [15].



• Most of alternative / advanced scenarios were just a Serendipity!

• Play with your machine (if allowed) or codes. Look at failed shots!

If you want to see, you will see!

Seek, and you will find [Matthew 7:7]



Your Challenge – Develop code to test your ideas!



M.G Yoo, Poster on Thursday

M.G Yoo and Y.-S. Na et al, Nature Comm. **9** 3523 (2018)



KSTAR

Your Challenge – Apply new tools!







 \Rightarrow E. Kolemen, this school

J. Seo et al, NF **61** 106010 (2021) J. Seo et al, NF **62** 086049 (2022)



Your Challenge – Breaking the rules!



B. Kim et al, Poster on Tuesday



KSTAR

KSTAR

Your Challenge – Find new one!



Y.-S. Na, J.M. Seo et al., Nature Comm. submitted (2022)



- What to satisfy?
- How to establish them?
- H-mode
 - Why?
 - How to?
 - Characteristics?
 - How to overcome?
- Monotonic Shear / Flat Shear / Reversed Shear
- Your Challenge!

