# Physics of Plasma control Towards Steady-state Operation of ITER

# M. Kikuchi, JAEA D.J. Campbell, ITER Organization

# **Outline of talk**

- Fusion research
- ITER and its Actuator
- Physics elements towards steady state operation of ITER

#### **0. What is Tokamak : Topology is torus. It has Geometrical Symmetry**



# **1. ITER** is first trial to bring the Sun on the Earth





## 6. Tokamak made great advances but has drawback.

Tokamak shows good confinement but is not intrinsically steady-state. Continuous fusion power from DEMO is much more preferable. ITER will challenge steady-state operation of tokamak system.



## 7. Steady state tokamak reactor as DEMO and commercial

#### To resolve pulsed nature of Tokamak system, use of bootstrap current and active current drive is essential.







# **ITER and its Actuator** (see details by J. Snipes, next talk)

# 1. ITER

# The principal physics goals of ITER

- 1) Achieve extended burn in inductively-driven plasmas with Q of at least 10 for a range of operating conditions, and of duration sufficient to achieve stationary conditions on the time scales characteristic of plasma processes.
- 2) Aim at demonstrating steady-state operation using non-inductive current drive with a ratio of fusion power to input power of at least 5.



# **2. ITER Operation Scenarios**

Nuclear Fusion Prize 2006	-	Design Scenarios		
For Hybrid (T. Luce)	Parameter	Inductive	Hybrid	Steady-State
承办理1 ORGANIE HOSTED	R/a [m/m]	6.2/2.0	6.2/2.0	6.35/1.85
	Volume [m <sup>3</sup> ]	831	831	730
	Surface [m <sup>2</sup> ]	683	683	650
	B <sub>T</sub> [T]	5.3	5.3	5.18
	I <sub>P</sub> [MA]	15.0	13.8	9.0
	<b>κ</b> <sub>x</sub> / <b>κ</b> 95	1.85/1.7	1.85/1.7	2.0/1.85
	$\delta_x/\delta_{95}$	0.48/0.33	0.48/0.33	0.5/0.40
	τ <sub>E</sub> [s]	3.4	2.7	3.1
	H98 (y,2)	1.0	1.0	1.57
	$\beta_{\rm N}$	2.0	1.9	3.0
(a) <sup>15</sup>	$< n_e > [10^{19} m^{-3}]$	11.3	9.3	6.7
5	f <sub>He, axis</sub> [%]	4.4	3.5	4.1
(b) 4	P <sub>FUS</sub> [MW]	500	400	356
	P <sub>ADD</sub> [MW]	50	73	59
	Q	10	5.4	6.0
	Burn time [s]	500	1000	3000
	Min rep time [s]	2000	4000	12000
(e) 4 [	P <sub>TOT</sub> [MW]	151	154	130
32	P <sub>RAD</sub> [MW]	61	55	38
	P <sub>α</sub> [MW]	100	80	71
	P <sub>L-H</sub> [MW]	76	66	48
0 2000 4000 6000 8000 Time (ms)	W <sub>th</sub> [MJ]	353	310	287

Not yet for Steady-state

# 2. ITER Actuators (1) Magnetic field control tools

Shape, Position Control : PF1-PF6 (SC) Fast vertical feedback control: VS coils (NC) Plasma current control : CS1-CS6 (SC)

ELM control : ELM coils RWM control : use ELM coils







T. Evans

# 3. ITER Actuators (2) Pellet & Divertor pumping





Kukushkin: NF2009

High field side Pellet Injector : Core fuelling : Density peaking Low field side Pellet Injector : ELM pacing

**P T Lang et al**: ELM pace making and mitigation by pellet injection in ASDEX Upgrade : one of 2007 NFP 10 nominees

Density peaking has strong influence on fusion performance. When ITG plays dominant role in transport, density will be peaked while it will flatten if TEM play major role as shown by Angioni NF2004.

2007 Nuclear Fusion Prize for physics of density peaking



C. Angioni

Heating NB system
-1MeV, 16.5MWx2
-3600s
-On and off CD by tilting capablity Δz

EC system -170MHz, 20MW - Upper 4 for localized H&CD (r>mid r) (NTM, sawtooth) 1kHz modulation -Equatorial for broader H&CD (r<mid r) Startup assist, Central H&CD

IC system -~50MHz, 20MW T 2<sup>nd</sup> Harmonic resonance



Hemsworth NF2009

Henderson 2006

Milanesio NF2010

# Plasma Operating Regime toward Steady-state Operation of tokamak

F. Cheng, Furth, Boozer (1987) analyzed MHD stability of tokamak to propose (q,li) diagram for tokamak operating space. J. Snipes NF(1988) for non-circular plasma (JET). Steady state tokamak may need operating in low li since bootstrap current is hollow.



F. Troyon (1984) derived most famous scaling for ideal MHD stability of tokamak called Troton scaling  $\beta_t = \beta_N I_p / aB_t$ , which leads to relation with poloidal beta  $\beta_{p*} = (4/(\mu_0 I_p^2 R_p)) PdV$ .

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

16

# 3. Advanced tokamak operation : profile control

Current profile control is important for obtaining high performance plasma in ITER and also essential for steady state operation of tokamaks.

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

H. Kishimoto, S. Ishida, M. Kikuchi, N. Ninomiya NF(2005)

Originally proposed for SSTR (Kikuchi, (1990)) : -High q(0) with wall stabilization gives stable plasma at high βp. -Improved confinement without sawtooth

-Issue : Wall stabilization. -> see RWM later
-Issue : loss of wall stabilization /not extreme. (see Manickham (1994)
-Issue : Edge bootstrap current excites MHD modes
-Issue : NTM in positive shear -> see NTM later

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **5. Negative shear regime:**

T. Ozeki (1992) ; first proposal to use NS for steady state operation of tokamak. Point :

-Reduce pressure gradient near q<sub>min</sub> -> Not consistent with large J at q<sub>min</sub>

```
-Supplement off-axis NB near q<sub>min</sub>
```

Issue :

-Loss of wall stabilization has big effect. (Manicham (1994) Nonetheless Murakami NF(2005) achieved

$$q_{95}$$
=5,  $\beta_N$ =3.5,  $\beta_t$ =3.6%,  $H_{89}$ =2.4

Issue :

- -edge bootstrap current
- n=1 mode to terminate discharges

![](_page_18_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **6. Current Hole regime:**

T. Fujita and N.C. Hawkes (2001) found stable current hole in the center of NS plasmas. Good point :

-Have lower li and easier to get more elongated plasma.

-Easier to get higher bootstrap current fraction

Issue :

-Ripple loss may be enhanced , severe ripple constraint.

-Lower  $\beta$  limit without wall stabilization

T. Fujita et al., P.R.L. 87(2001)245001 N.C. Hawkes et al., P.R.L. 87(2001)115001

![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Physics Elements of Plasma control towards steady-state operation of ITER

Hirshman-Sigmar moment equation for momentum and heat flow

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_{a1} & \mu_{a2} \\ \mu_{a2} & \mu_{a3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle Bu_{J/a} \rangle - BV_{1a} \\ \langle 2Bq_{J/a} / 5P_{a} \rangle - BV_{2a} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{b} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}^{ab} & -l_{12}^{ab} \\ -l_{21}^{ab} & l_{22}^{ab} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle Bu_{J/b} \rangle \\ \langle 2Bq_{J/b} / 5P_{b} \rangle \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_{a}n_{a} \langle BE_{J/b} \rangle \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \langle BM_{aJ/b} \rangle \\ \langle BQ_{aJ/b} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hline Viscous force Friction force Friction force Friction matrix$$

$$\hline Viscous force Friction matrix$$

$$\hline Parallel flows Thermodynamic forces Friction matrix$$

$$\hline \langle B \cdot J \rangle = \langle B \cdot J \rangle_{bs} + \sigma_{J/b}^{NC} \langle B \cdot E \rangle + \langle B \cdot J \rangle_{NBCD} + \langle B \cdot J \rangle_{RFCD}$$

$$\hline Bootstrap current Necclassical conductivity Non-inductive CD$$

$$\sigma_{J/b}^{NC} \langle B \cdot E \rangle = \sum_{a=c,1}^{c} e_{a}n_{a} \left\{ \sum_{b=1}^{5} [(M-L)^{-1}M]_{ab} V_{Lb} \right\}$$

$$\langle B \cdot J \rangle_{NBCD} + \langle B \cdot J \rangle_{RFCD} = \sum_{a} e_{a}n_{a} \left\{ \sum_{b=1}^{5} [(M-L)^{-1}]_{ab} e_{b}n_{b} \langle BE_{J/b} \rangle \right\}$$

### **2. Conduction Current : Neoclassical conductivity**

$$\sigma_{//}^{NC} = \sum_{a=e,i,I} \sum_{b=e,i,I} e_{a} n_{a} e_{b} n_{b} \left[ (\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{L})^{-1} \right]_{ab}$$

$$\sigma_{//}^{sp} = -\sum_{a=e,i,I} \sum_{b=e,i,I} e_a n_a e_b n_b L^{-1}{}_{ab}$$

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

Hirshman, Hawryluk, Birge NF(1977)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

L. Spitzer Jr. first derived electrical conductivity in fully ionized plasma.

#### **3. Bootstrap Current**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **4. Beam-driven Current**

$$\langle \vec{J}_{bd} \cdot \vec{B} \rangle = \sum_{a=e,i,l,b} e_a n_a \langle \vec{u}_a \cdot \vec{B} \rangle = \sum_{a=e,i,l,b} e_a n_a (\hat{M} - \hat{L})^{-1}{}_{ab} S_b$$
Wesson crude estimates
$$\langle \vec{J}_{fast} \cdot \vec{B} \rangle = e_b n_b (\hat{M} - \hat{L})^{-1}{}_{bb} S_b$$

$$J_{fast} = \frac{S\tau_w Z_b v_{bol}}{1 + E_c / E_{bol}} \int_0^l r_{l} u^3 du = \frac{S\tau_w Z_b v_{bol}}{1 + E_c / E_{bol}} \int_0^l u^{2s} [\frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}]^{1 + 2p/3} u^3 du$$

$$\langle \vec{J}_{shield} \cdot \vec{B} \rangle = \sum_{a=e,i,l} e_a n_a (\hat{M} - \hat{L})^{-1}{}_{ab} S_b$$

$$J_{bd} \sim \frac{2\tau_w c Z_b F}{P_{cb}} \int_0^l f_l u^3 du$$

$$\eta_{cb} = \frac{1}{P_{cb}} e_a n_a (\hat{M} - \hat{L})^{-1}{}_{ab} \langle e_b n_b (\hat{M} - \hat{L})^{-1}{}_{bb} \rangle$$

$$\eta(E_{bol} / E_c) = \frac{1}{1 + E_c / E_{bol}} \int_0^l [\frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

$$\eta(E_{bol} / E_c) = \frac{1}{1 + E_c / E_{bol}} \int_0^l [\frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e_b r_{cb}} \frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e_b r_{cb}} \frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e_b r_{cb}} \frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + E_c / E_{bol}} \int_0^l (\frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e_b r_{cb}} \frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + E_c / E_{bol}} \int_0^l (\frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e_b r_{cb}} \frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e_b r_{cb}} \frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e_b r_{cb}} \frac{1}{e_b r_{cb}} \frac{1 + (E_c / E_{bol})^{3/2}}{1 + 2p/3} u^{2p+3} du$$

#### **5. Toroidal Rotation in Tokamak**

Summation of momentum balance equation

$$\sum_{a} m_{a} n_{a} \frac{du_{a}}{dt} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla \mathbf{P} - \sum_{a} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_{a} + \sum_{a} \mathbf{M}_{a}$$

Taking the inner product  $R^2 \nabla \zeta$  above eq. and flux surface average < >

$$\sum_{a} m_{a} \left\langle n_{a} R \frac{\partial u_{a\zeta}}{\partial t} \right\rangle = - \left\langle \sum_{a} R^{2} \nabla \zeta \cdot \nabla \cdot \Pi_{a} \right\rangle + \left\langle R^{2} \nabla \zeta \cdot \sum_{a} M_{a} \right\rangle$$

Using the axisymmetric relation  $R^2 B \nabla \zeta = F(\psi) \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \psi$ 

$$\left\langle \mathbf{R}^{2}\nabla\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{a}\right\rangle = 0$$

This means that toroidal drag force by magnetic field variation is zero for axisymmetric system, while it becomes important (so-called Neoclassical Toroidal Viscosity) if there is some asymmetry [40]. It is also noted that drift wave turbulence may drive toroidal rotation due to breaking of  $\pm k_{//}$  symmetry by sheared **E** x **B** flow [41], which may be a cause of intrinsic rotation observed in in tokamak [42].

### 6. 2D Newcomb Equation for Peeling/Balooning stability : ELM

The energy integral W under  $\nabla \cdot \xi = 0$  can be expressed in a following form by using  $X = \xi \cdot \nabla r$ and  $V = r\xi \cdot \nabla (\theta - \zeta/q)$  in the flux coordinates (r,  $\theta$ ,  $\zeta$ ) with  $r = [2R_0 \int_0^{\psi} (q/F) d\psi]^{1/2}$  [43], [1]

$$W_{p} = \frac{\pi}{2\mu_{0}} \int_{0}^{a} dr \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \mathcal{L}(X, \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial X}{\partial r}, V, \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta})$$

Absence of  $\partial V/\partial r$  term leads to simpler Euler-Lagrange equation for V and its solvability condition on  $\theta$  leads to the following two-dimensional Newcomb equation for X.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\mathbf{f}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{X}}{\mathrm{d}r} + \mathbf{g}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{X}}{\mathrm{d}r} + \mathbf{h}\mathbf{X} = 0$$

Here,  $\mathbf{X} = (-, X_{-2}, X_{-1}, X_0, X_1, X_2, --)^t$  (t: transposed) where  $X_m$  is Foulier component of X and **f**, **g**, **h** are constant matrices.

MARG2D [43] solve this 2D Newcomb equation for the analysis of peeling modes with high n numbers. Here, Peeling mode is an external modes localized near the plasma edge driven by the finite edge current. This mode can be coupled to pressure driven ballooning mode and thought to be a cause of ELM (Edge Localized Modes) in tokamak. If the magnetic shear is very low s=rdq/dr/q~0, radial mode separation becomes larger and radial mode coupling becomes weaker and standard ballooning mode theory base on dense radial mode coupling breaks down (Hastie, NF 1995).

And mode growth rate becomes oscillatory as a function of n (or toroidal wave number  $k_z$ ) treated as a continuous parameter. Under such circumstance, intermediate integer n mode may become unstable even if lower n modes are stable. This low n internal pressure-drive mode is named as "infernal mode" by (J. Manickam, NF 1987)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

Ozeki, NF 1995 to explain  $\beta p$  collapse of JT-60 high  $\beta p$  discharges

# 8. Tearing and Neoclassical Tearing Modes

#### Kikuchi Physics and Fusion, Springer

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **9. Double Tearing Modes**

#### Ishii PRL (2002)

- For wide separation of singular surface, mode will not grow explosively.
- For intermediate separation, explosive growth happens later as point reconnection.

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

Important implication for the plasma control is to pass through low m/n rational  $q_{min}$  as quick as possible under reduced pressure gradient and keep wider separation in quasi steady state.

# **10. Resistive Wall Modes**

Early1990's, wall stabilization was thought to be difficult.

Ideal MHD can not be stabilized by slipping of plasma w.r.t. mode. [Gimblett, N.F. 26(1986)617] Continuous damp :

Shear Alfven wave has continuous spectrum -> Wave damping by phase mixing occurs [1] Hasegawa-Chen , Kinetic Alfven Wave(KAW) (1974, first proposed as heating method)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **11. Toroidal Alfven Eigenmode (TAE)**

Shear Alfven Wave may couple to High Energy Particles

-> But it will damp by phase mixing of shear Alfven Waves with different wave number

Coupling of different poloidal modes may eliminate Alfven Resonance. -> Continuous damping will not work.

Toroidal coupling of m and m+1 produces frequency range Alfven resonance is prohibited.

EC42.3A n = 1 g(0) = 1.0408

I I I I I I

 $[ (k^{2}_{//m} - (\omega/V_{A})^{2}) (k^{2}_{//m+1} - (\omega/V_{A})^{2}) - \varepsilon^{2} (\omega/V_{A})^{2} = 0$  $k_{//m} = (n-m/q)/R , k_{//m} = -k_{//m+1} -> q = (m+1/2)/n$ 

0.8

 $\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{h}}\right)^{2}$ 

0.4

0.2

Spinor :  $sin(m\theta)+sin((m+1)\theta)$ = $sin[(m+0.5)\theta]cos(0.5\theta)$ Mobius band ( periodic with two circulation) can not resonate.

![](_page_31_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Summary

- Tokamak made a significant progress but it requires control of many phenomena.
- ITER is a test bed whether we can control them.
- There are enormous chance for young student to tackle to these issues.

# Appendix Why Fusion

- Fossil Era will end in a moment
- Environmental problem
- Carbon free society
- Merit of fusion

**Present Civilization by Fossil Energy will end in a "moment** In 20<sup>th</sup> century, a BIG transition in population and energy consumption.

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

### A-2 Burn up of all fossil resource produce 4.8T Carbon ton

4.8T Carbon ton of  $CO_2 \sim 6.4 \times CO_2$  in atmosphere. Half will be absorbed by plants and sea. 3.2+1=4.2 times  $CO_2$  in the atmosphere may give rise to 2m sea level rise.

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

# A-3 Carbon Free Society towards the end of 21<sup>st</sup> century

[Burn of fossil resources (5T Ton Oil Eq.) increases CO<sub>2</sub> concentration by 4 times and 2 m sea rise in 500y.]

Carbon free system in all areas:Transportation: gasoline to fuel cell/electric vehiclesManufacturing: coal to hydrogen for steel deoxidizationHouse and Offices: gas, kerosene to electric

# ~80% of energy sources requirement may be electricity and hydrogen

#### Snowmass summer seminar1999 plenary talk by M.K.

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

	Fossil	Fission	Renewable	Fusion
Supply Stability	Q	Q	×	
Large Scale Supply Resource			$  \stackrel{\bigtriangleup}{\otimes}  $	
CO <sub>2</sub> Emission	X	ŏ	Ŏ	ğ
Waste Siting			Q	8
Safety(1/BHP)	ð l		6	X
Cost	$ $ $\bigcirc$		$\triangle$	Ă

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

Fusion

# **A-4 Fusion's Merit as Energy Source**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)